Town of Paonia
214 Grand Avenue
Tuesday, July 21, 2026 5:00 PM
Ad Hoc Short Term Rental Committee

A) Approval of Agenda

B) Actions & Presentations
Public comments must be related to the agenda item, 3-minute time limit.

1) Brief Review of Previous Meetings Executive Summarry and Public Comment

2) Filling of Two Open Committee Seats
   i. Explain the background and the process
   ii. Establish a process for moving forward
   iii. Review applications received and engage potential new members
   iv. Establish a process for moving forward

3) Public Comment

4) Discuss agenda items and preparation materials needed for the next meeting; specific survey questions

C) Adjournment

As Adopted by:
Town of Paonia, Colorado
Resolution No. 2017-10 – Amended May 22, 2018

I. Rules of Procedure

Section 1. Schedule of Meetings. Regular Board of Trustees meetings shall be held on the second and fourth Tuesdays of each month, except on legal holidays, or as re-scheduled or amended and posted on the agenda prior to the scheduled meeting.

Section 2. Officiating Officer. The meetings of the Board of Trustees shall be conducted by the Mayor or, in the Mayor's absence, the Mayor Pro-Tem. The Town Clerk or a designee of the Board shall record the minutes of the meetings.

Section 3. Time of Meetings. Regular meetings of the Board of Trustees shall begin at 6:30 p.m. or as scheduled and posted on the agenda. Board Members shall be called to order by the Mayor. The meetings shall open with the presiding officer leading the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance. The Town Clerk shall then proceed to call the roll, note the absences and announce whether a quorum is present. Regular Meetings are scheduled for three hours, and shall be adjourned at 9:30 p.m., unless a majority of the Board votes in the affirmative to extend the meeting, by a specific amount of time.

Section 4. Schedule of Business. If a quorum is present, the Board of Trustees shall proceed with the business before it, which shall be conducted in the following manner. Note that all provided times are estimated:

(a) Roll Call - (5 minutes)
(b) Approval of Agenda - (5 minutes)
(c) Announcements (5 minutes)
(d) Recognition of Visitors and Guests (10 minutes)
(e) Consent Agenda including Approval of Prior Meeting Minutes (10 minutes)
(f) Mayor's Report (10 minutes)
(g) Staff Reports: (15 minutes)
 (1) Town Administrator's Report
 (2) Public Works Reports
 (3) Police Report
 (4) Treasurer Report

(h) Unfinished Business (45 minutes)
(i) New Business (45 minutes)
(j) Disbursements (15 minutes)
(k) Committee Reports (15 minutes)
(l) Adjournment

* This schedule of business is subject to change and amendment.

Section 5. Priority and Order of Business. Questions relative to the priority of business and order shall be decided by the Mayor without debate, subject in all cases to an appeal to the Board of Trustees.

Section 6. Conduct of Board Members. Town Board Members shall treat other Board Members and the public in a civil and polite manner and shall comply with the Standards of Conduct for Elected Officials of the Town. Board Members shall address Town Staff and the Mayor by his/her title, other Board Members by the title of Trustee or the appropriate honorific (i.e.: Mr., Mrs. or Ms.), and members of the public by the appropriate honorific. Subject to the Mayor's discretion, Board Members shall be limited to speaking two times when debating an item on the agenda. Making a motion, asking a question or making a suggestion are not counted as speaking in a debate.

Section 7. Presentations to the Board. Items on the agenda presented by individuals, businesses or other organizations shall be given up to 5 minutes to make a presentation. On certain issues, presenters may be given more time, as determined by the Mayor and Town Staff. After the presentation, Trustees shall be given the opportunity to ask questions.

Section 8. Public Comment. After discussion of an agenda item by the Board of Trustees has concluded, the Mayor shall open the floor for comment from members of the public, who shall be allowed the opportunity to comment or ask questions on the agenda item. Each member of the public wishing to address the Town Board shall be recognized by the presiding officer before speaking. Members of the public shall speak from the podium, stating their name, the address of their residence and any group they are representing prior to making comment or asking a question. Comments shall be directed to the Mayor or presiding officer, not to an individual Trustee or Town employee. Comments or questions should be confined to the agenda item or issue(s) under discussion. The speaker should offer factual information and refrain from obscene language and personal attacks.

Section 9. Unacceptable Behavior. Disruptive behavior shall result in expulsion from the meeting.

Section 10. Posting of Rules of Procedure for Paonia Board of Trustees Meetings. These rules of procedure shall be provided in the Town Hall meeting room for each Board of Trustees meeting so that all attendees know how the meeting will be conducted.

II. Consent Agenda

Section 1. Use of Consent Agenda. The Mayor, working with Town Staff, shall place items on the Consent Agenda. By using a Consent Agenda, the Board has consented to the consideration of certain items as a group under one motion. Should a Consent Agenda be used at a meeting, an appropriate amount of discussion time will be allowed to review any item upon request.
Section 2. General Guidelines. Items for consent are those which usually do not require discussion or explanation prior to action by the Board, are non-controversial and/or similar in content, or are those items which have already been discussed or explained and do not require further discussion or explanation. Such agenda items may include ministerial tasks such as, but not limited to, approval of previous meeting minutes, approval of staff reports, addressing routine correspondence, approval of liquor licenses renewals and approval or extension of other Town licenses. Minor changes in the minutes such as non-material Scribner errors may be made without removing the minutes from the Consent Agenda. Should any Trustee feel there is a material error in the minutes, they should request the minutes be removed from the Consent Agenda for Board discussion.
Section 3. Removal of Item from Consent Agenda. One or more items may be removed from the Consent Agenda by a timely request of any Trustee. A request is timely if made prior to the vote on the Consent Agenda. The request does not require a second or a vote by the Board. An item removed from the Consent Agenda will then be discussed and acted on separately either immediately following the consideration of the

Consent Agenda or placed later on the agenda, at the discretion of the Board.

III. Executive Session

Section 1. An executive session may only be called at a regular or special Board meeting where official action may be taken by the Board, not at a work session of the Board. To convene an executive session, the Board shall announce to the public in the open meeting the topic to be discussed in the executive session, including specific citation to the statute authorizing the Board to meet in an executive session and identifying the particular matter to be discussed "in as much detail as possible without compromising the purpose for which the executive session is authorized." In the event the Board plans to discuss more than one of the authorized topics in the executive session, each should be announced, cited and described. Following the announcement of the intent to convene an executive session, a motion must then be made and seconded. In order to go into executive session, there must be the affirmative vote of two thirds (2/3) of Members of the Board.

Section 2. During executive session, minutes or notes of the deliberations should not be taken. Since meeting minutes are subject to inspection under the Colorado Open Records Act, the keeping of minutes would defeat the private nature of executive session. In addition, the deliberations carried out during executive session should not be discussed outside of that session or with individuals not participating in the session. The contexts of an executive session are to remain confidential unless a majority of the Trustees vote to disclose the contents of the executive session.

Section 3. Once the deliberations have taken place in executive session, the Board should reconvene in regular session to take any formal action decided upon during the executive session. If you have questions regarding the wording of the motion or whether any other information should be disclosed on the record, it is essential for you to consult with the Town Attorney on these matters.

IV. Subject to Amendment

Section 1. Deviations. The Board may deviate from the procedures set forth in this Resolution, if, in its sole discretion, such deviation is necessary under the circumstances.
Section 2. Amendment. The Board may amend these Rules of Procedures Policy from time to time.

STR Ad Hoc Committee Meeting Summary

Meeting Date: July 7, 2026
Meeting Type: STR Ad Hoc Committee Meeting
Facilitator: Scott Brown

Meeting Overview

The STR Ad Hoc Committee met to continue work related to the Board of Trustees' assigned STR review topics. Due to existing committee vacancies, the primary focus of the meeting was establishing a process to fill open seats before moving further into substantive policy recommendations. The facilitator noted that the agenda carried over several items from prior meetings and should be viewed more as a work plan than a list of items expected to be completed during this meeting.

Agenda Approval

The Committee approved the agenda as presented. The facilitator acknowledged that, while the agenda included several policy-related topics, the immediate priority was filling committee vacancies so the Committee could continue its work with broader representation.

Verbatim motion:
"Motion to approve."

Result: Motion carried.

Recording Issue from Prior Meeting

The Committee acknowledged that the June 2 meeting was not recorded due to either a technical issue or human error. Staff noted that a similar issue occurred with a work session that followed. The July 7 meeting was confirmed to be recording.

Quorum and Meeting Cancellation Discussion

The Committee discussed how to handle future meetings if a quorum is not present. Members noted that the prior meeting proceeded with only two members present, allowing public input and discussion, but no final decisions. The Committee discussed the importance of avoiding meetings where the public is waiting for progress but no formal action can be taken.

There was consensus that, if it is known in advance that a quorum will not be present, the meeting should be canceled. If members arrive at a meeting and discover a quorum is not present, the Committee may make a judgment call at that time, depending on circumstances and public attendance.

Verbatim motion as captured:
"If we don't have, if we know in advance of the meeting that we won't have a quorum, recommend a motion that we can cancel the meeting as a practice, and if we arrive to the meeting and don't have a forum, make that judgment call, maybe prior to beginning the meeting."

Result: Motion passed.

STR Committee Website / Agenda Posting

The Committee discussed posting agendas directly on the STR Ad Hoc Committee webpage so the public can more easily find meeting materials without searching through other sections of the Town website. Staff acknowledged this had previously been discussed and would be addressed.

Process for Filling Committee Vacancies

The Committee reviewed the prior applicant list and discussed whether individuals who previously applied but were not appointed may still be interested in serving. Names referenced included Deborah Spiegel, Jaylene, and Oana. The Committee also discussed whether Jaylene, who has attended meetings and is associated with Common Ground, may provide representation from a minimal-regulation viewpoint.

Rather than limit the process to prior applicants only, the Committee agreed to pursue both approaches: the facilitator will contact prior applicants to determine continued interest, and the Town will provide public notice of the open seats. Interested applicants will be asked to complete the same questionnaire and attend the next STR meeting to speak to their interest.

Verbatim motion:
"Direct the facilitator, Scott Brown, to reach out to prior applicants, see if they're still interested, and also for the town to provide public notice of open seats on the committee and provide the same questionnaire to new interested applicants with the intent to have invite applicants to speak on their interest at the next SDR meeting."

Result: Motion approved.

Review of Prior Meeting Summary and Policy Concepts

The Committee reviewed the executive summary from the prior meeting and discussed concepts related to defining primary residence, owner-occupied, non-owner-occupied, and resident-owner STRs. A key point of discussion was whether a 183-day residency requirement would be practical or enforceable. Several members questioned how the Town would verify the number of days someone resides in a property.

The Committee discussed voter registration at the specific property address as a potentially simpler and more objective way to verify residency. Members noted that this may align with the prior public comment theme of shifting focus from “owner-occupied” to “resident-occupied” or “resident-owner” properties.

The Committee also discussed concerns with non-resident STR ownership, including difficulty reaching owners or managers, lack of local accountability, and the possibility of larger outside investment groups purchasing homes for short-term rental use. Members noted that any future definitions should avoid becoming overly complicated while still clearly distinguishing between primary-residence and non-primary-residence STRs.

No final policy recommendation was made on this topic, as the Committee preferred to fill the open seats before conducting additional substantive business.

Facilitator Contract Extension

The facilitator noted that his current contract expires July 31, 2026, though the Board has given the Committee until the end of the calendar year to complete recommendations. The Committee discussed whether extending the contract would require additional budget authority. Staff noted that budget details would need to be addressed by the Board of Trustees if the request moves forward.

The Committee agreed to recommend that the Board modify the facilitator’s contract so it does not expire July 31 and may continue through the end of the calendar year, subject to budget and contract limitations.

Verbatim motion:
“Motion that we recommend to the board that Scott’s contract be modified such that it does not expire July 31 but continues through the end of the calendar year.”

Result: Motion passed.

Public Comment / Public Participation

A member of the public, Shirley, commented that she was able to locate the STR information through the Town website by using the Government drop-down menu. The Committee discussed making agendas and meeting materials easier to locate on the STR Ad Hoc Committee page.

The Committee also reviewed written public comments submitted through the feedback form. Members noted appreciation for the number and quality of comments received and observed that the comments represented a broad spectrum of opinions. There was discussion about preserving public comments for future reference, especially those tied to specific policy questions.

Meeting Materials and Shared Records

The Committee discussed creating a shared folder or similar central location for committee materials, including public comments, prior summaries, the repealed ordinance, the Common Ground proposal, relevant zoning information, and working documents. Staff noted that a SharePoint folder could be created for committee access, while public-facing materials should remain available through the Town website or meeting packets.

The Committee discussed balancing accessibility with packet length. Staff recommended that materials specifically needed for a meeting be included in the packet or clearly linked so the public can access the same information.

Materials Requested for Next Meeting

For the next STR Committee meeting, the Committee requested the following materials:

- Prior committee applications and any new applications received;
- Relevant zoning information;
- The repealed STR ordinance;
- The Common Ground proposal;
- Public comments received through the feedback form;
- A shared folder or centralized access point for committee reference materials, if available;
- The facilitator's working "living document" tracking prior committee decisions and discussion points.

Next Steps / Action Items

1. **Facilitator Scott Brown** will contact prior applicants to determine whether they remain interested in serving on the STR Committee.

2. **Town staff** will provide public notice of open STR Committee seats.

3. **Town staff** will provide the same questionnaire to new interested applicants.

4. **Interested applicants** will be invited to attend the next STR Committee meeting and speak to their interest in serving.

5. **Town staff** will work on posting STR agendas and materials in a more accessible location on the Town website.

6. **Town staff** will consider creating a shared folder or centralized document location for committee reference materials.

7. **The Committee's recommendation to extend Scott Brown's facilitator contract** will need to be forwarded to the Board of Trustees for consideration.

Adjournment

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Verbatim motion as captured:
“Adjourn.”

STR Ad-Hoc Committee - Living Document

Last updated July 10, 2026

The seven topics outlined by the Board of Trustees for discussion and recommendations:

1. Number of maximum (Dwelling unit/single room) STR licenses offered by the Town and what this number is based on.
2. Definition of a single room rental.
3. Approach for licensing single room rentals.
4. Owner occupied vs. non-owner-occupied dwelling units as STRs
5. Possibly assigning the number of STR licenses and the associated parking requirements based respectively on the Town Zoning Classifications - C-1, C-2, R-1, R-2, R-3, and E-1 (See zoning classification descriptions and the Town zoning map)
6. Discuss the time frame included in the definition for *primary residence* – A privately-owned residential dwelling or property at which the owner resides for at least two hundred (200) days per year. (This is the definition and time frame included in the original Ordinance).
7. Establishment of a license waiting list used when all allotted licenses have been issued.

Definition of a Single-Room Short-Term Rental

The committee reviewed prior ordinance language defining a "single bedroom short-term rental." Discussion focused on:

- Whether the phrase "primary residence" should remain in the definition,
- Clarifying the meaning of "shared facilities,"
- Distinguishing room rentals from separate dwelling units,
- Determining whether separate bathrooms or basement spaces still qualify as room rentals,
- Clarifying that separate kitchens generally create separate dwelling units under zoning standards.

The Committee considered other existing information including the Common Ground proposal, and existing municipal code. Public comment was included in the discussion, along with input from Town staff. It was agreed that the existing language found in the repealed ordinance was a good starting point. Several committee members supported simplifying the language and making the definition flexible enough to reflect real-world room-rental situations.

The committee proposed modifying language requiring that: "*all other facilities are shared*" to wording such as: "**facilities may be shared.**"

Members acknowledged the definition may require future refinement as additional STR topics are addressed. At the June 2, 2026 meeting (advisory recommendations only since an formal quorum was not present), the committee took another look at this definition and agreed that further refinement/clarification of the language was needed. The current recommendation is that this definition now read:

A privately-owned bedroom within a Primary Residence, or within the Core Commercial District (C-1) that is rented for the purpose of lodging for any period less than thirty (30) consecutive days, where ~~all~~ other facilities ~~are~~ may be shared with the owner of the dwelling.

Approach for Licensing Single Room Rentals

The committee reviewed licensing concepts contained in:

- The repealed STR ordinance, and
- The Common Ground proposal.

Discussion generally favored a simplified registration system rather than a heavily layered permitting process.

The Common Ground proposal included:

- Town-issued registration requirements,
- Multi-year registration periods,
- Complaint-driven enforcement,
- Cost-recovery fee structures,

- Basic application information,
- Self-certification of safety compliance.

Committee members and the public emphasized the importance of:

- Keeping regulations simple,
- Minimizing administrative burden,
- Maintaining affordability for operators,
- Ensuring regulations are manageable for town staff.

Several participants expressed concern that the repealed ordinance was overly complicated and burdensome, particularly for residents renting rooms within owner-occupied homes.

Registration Terms, Renewal Cycles, and Administrative Considerations

The committee discussed possible registration renewal cycles, including:

- Annual renewals,
- Two-year cycles,
- Three-year cycles.

Discussion included:

- Potential benefits of reducing staff workload through longer renewal periods,
- Concerns about tracking multi-year licenses,
- Establishing common renewal dates,
- Using prorated fees for mid-cycle applicants.

Public comment was included in this discussion, along with input from Town staff. It was agreed that the language in the Common Ground proposal for the most part outlined a workable approach. The recommended three-year registration period had a lot of support. The concept of complaint-driven enforcement was repeatedly referenced as a way to reduce administrative complexity.

The committee also discussed whether STR registrations should be transferable upon sale of a property. Members discussed the possibility of a transfer process requiring new owners to complete compliance review while preserving existing reservations. It was pointed out that transferability could happen along the lines of the process used for liquor licenses. It was agreed to park transferability for further discussion in the future.

Time Frame Included in the Definition of Primary Residence. The Committee discussed this topic on June 2nd and took quite a bit of public comment. There was broad agreement within the room as a whole that the definition in the original ordinance be modified to read (again, this is advisory only since a quorum was not present at this meeting):

Primary Residence: A privately-owned residential dwelling or property at which the ~~owner~~ resident resides for at least ~~(200)~~ (183) days per year.

Further discussion occurred at the July 7 meeting. From the Executive Summary:

A key point of discussion was whether a 183-day residency requirement would be practical or enforceable. Several members questioned how the Town would verify the number of days someone resides in a property.

The Committee discussed voter registration at the specific property address as a potentially simpler and more objective way to verify residency. Members noted that this may align with the prior public comment theme of shifting focus from "owner-occupied" to "resident-occupied" or "resident-owner" properties."

Owner Occupied vs. Non-Owner-Occupied Dwelling Units as STRs. The Committee took considerable public comment on this topic and it's unfortunate that the meeting was not recorded so as to more fully be able to capture the input. Three main themes were:

i.) Shift the focus from owner- to resident-occupied.

ii.) Non-owner occupied (resident) units should be the sole focus of the Town's ordinance.

iii.) Non-owner occupied (resident) units should be regulated in a way that isn't overly burdensome and is consistent with the approach outlined in the Common Ground proposal. Resident occupied units should be more leniently regulated.

This topic was also discussed at the July 7 meeting. From the Executive Summary:

The Committee also discussed concerns with non-resident STR ownership, including difficulty reaching owners or managers, lack of local accountability, and the possibility of larger outside investment groups purchasing homes for short-term rental use. Members noted that any future definitions should avoid becoming overly complicated while still clearly distinguishing between primary-residence and non-primary-residence STRs.

No final policy recommendation was made on this topic, as the Committee preferred to fill the open seats before conducting additional substantive business.

Next items up for discussion:

1. Number of maximum (Dwelling unit/single room) STR licenses offered by the Town and what this number is based on.

2. Possibly assigning the number of STR licenses and the associated parking requirements based respectively on the Town Zoning Classifications - C-1, C-2, R-1, R-2, R-3, and E-1 (See zoning classification descriptions and the Town zoning map)

3. Establishment of a license waiting list used when all allotted licenses have been issued.

The purpose of the Short-Term Rental (STR) Ad Hoc Committee is to bring forth recommendations regarding STRs to the Board of Trustees. The committee will be composed of five members, including two board-appointed Trustees (Rick Stelter and Kathy Swartz), a staff member (Town Administrator Stefen Wynn) and two members of the public who have diverse perspectives on STRs.

If interested in serving on this committee, please complete and submit this application by Thursday, 7/16/2026 by end of day. The Committee will meet on Tuesday, 7/21/2026 from 5:00 -6:30 pm to select the remaining two members of the public. Applicants are welcome, but not required, to give a two-minute presentation on why they are interested in serving on this committee.

1. Full Name

   Kathlyn O'Brien (Lynnie)

2. Phone

   [redacted]

3. Email

   [redacted]

4. Primary Address

   [redacted] Box Elder Ave

5. Do you reside…

   ◉ In-Town or ○ Out-of-Town

6. Why do you want to be on this committee?

   my concern with STR is its impact on the culture of my town.

7. Why do you think voters voted to ~~replay~~ repeal the STR ordinance that was passed by the board?

They were concerned about "short term" care for our town STR people are only here for a few days and ~~ha~~ no buy-in to who were are as a community

8. The Committee is tasked with moving forward with recommendations regarding Short-Term Rentals. All committee members must agree to the following: (please initial next to each statement to deem your application completed)

LOB I agree to participate in all meetings, either in-person or virtually. I am out of town on family business during the month of August. I hope I have internet!!!

LOB I agree to come prepared to each meeting

LOB I agree that this committee will make recommendations via consensus and will do my best to abide by the principles, including seeking to understand another's perspective.

LOB I agree that I will approach this committee with the best interest of the Town regardless of my own personal circumstances.

9. Do you plan on giving a two-minute presentation at the meeting on 7/21/2026?

● Yes ○ No

Lynnie O'Brien
Signature

Lynnie O'Brien
Print Name

7/15/26
Date

TOWN USE ONLY

Outlook

Fwd: filling vacant STR Committee seats

From Scott Brown / Active Peace <Scott@4activepeace.com>
Date Mon 7/13/2026 8:28 AM
To Samira Vetter <SamiraV@townofpaonia.com>
Cc Daoine B <DaoineB@townofpaonia.com>

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Deborah Spiegel [redacted]
Subject: Re: filling vacant STR Committee seats
Date: July 9, 2026 at 8:52:00 PM MDT
To: "Scott Brown / Active Peace" <Scott@4activepeace.com>
Reply-To: [redacted]

Hi Scott,

Thank you for the invitation to join the STR Committee. I appreciate your confidence in me, and I'm honored to be considered.

I originally applied because I wanted to ensure that someone familiar with all of the work Common Ground has done would be involved in the ordinance rewrite. My concern with the position becoming vacant is that it might be filled by someone who is unfamiliar with the many months of research, community input, and collaboration that have already taken place.

When the STR committee was originally formed, it was intentionally balanced, with representatives bringing different perspectives to the table. That balance, along with a willingness to listen and collaborate, has been valuable.

During previous meetings, the committee requested that Common Ground present its proposed ordinance ideas. As we reviewed the proposal together, there appeared to be broad agreement on many of the policy concepts. That gave me hope that we were moving toward a practical, balanced ordinance that reflected input from many sides of the issue.

Recently, however, I have become uncertain about the direction of the committee's work. Much of our discussion has focused on defining terms from the repealed ordinance rather than evaluating the broader policy recommendations that have already been developed.

At the same time, the Board has expressed a desire to complete a new ordinance by the end of the year.

Before accepting a position on the committee, I would appreciate some clarification about the direction the Board would like the committee to take.

To date, Common Ground has invested many months researching, gathering community input, and developing a complete draft policy proposal. That proposal was specifically requested by the committee members and generated thoughtful discussion during our meetings. More importantly, it represents a compromise developed through collaboration among people with differing viewpoints and was intended to serve as a starting point for further discussion and refinement.

I would appreciate understanding whether the Board envisions the committee using the Common Ground proposal as a working document that can be edited and refined, or whether the committee is expected to take a different approach.

Understanding that would help me determine whether I can contribute most effectively as a committee member and ensure that everyone's volunteer time is being used in the most productive way.

Thank you again for the invitation and for all the work you've put into this process. I look forward to hearing your thoughts.

Sincerely,

Deborah Spiegel

On Thu, Jul 9, 2026 at 2:38 PM Scott Brown / Active Peace <Scott@4activepeace.com> wrote:

> Greetings,
>
> As you may know, a community member seat on the STR Committee was vacated last month and the Committee decided to take the opportunity to request two new community members. The Trustees approved that recommendation and tasked the Committee with filling the seats. This email is going out to the few people who originally expressed interest in being on the Committee but were not selected. On behalf of the entire Committee I hope you will consider putting your hat in the ring once again. The additional seats will bring the total to 3 community members, two trustees, and the interim administrator.
>
> If you are interested please let me know via this email thread and plan to attend the next meeting on July 21 at 5:00. The Committee meets 1st and 3rd Tuesdays from 5:00 to 6:30.
>
> The Town will also be announcing the vacancies and soliciting additional applications. That will happen via the Textgov app, the FB page, and the Town website. Please feel free to give me a call if you have any questions.
>
> Thank you,

Scott Brown, STR Committee facilitator
[redacted]